

Exhibit C

C-1




Raul @Raul15340965 · Jan 25
Courtney Love cleared of Twitter libel: what it means for the rest of us
gigaom.com/2014/01/25/cou... via @gigaom
View summary Reply Retweet Favorite More

FightCopyrightTrolls @fightcopytrolls · Jan 25
@Raul15340965 Keith Lipscomb is bought off. By Satan.
Hide conversation Reply Retweet Favorite More

FAVORITE
1

2:37 PM - 25 Jan 2014 · Details

C-2



Anonymous says:
February 6, 2013 at 16:02
0 0 Rate This

It would be a public service to alert his congregation to his moral deficiency.


Prostitution is clearly forbidden by the Bible, and there is no way to convincingly argue that porn production is anything but prostitution, irrespective of legal distinctions. Furthermore, even if Lipscomb believes in principle that everyone is entitled to legal representation, it does not oblige him to take clients that run a business antithetical to his beliefs. In his case, it is not simply a matter of failing to politely decline a business proposal, he is proactively seeking these clients and building a business of blackmail and extortion on top of their moral transgressions.

We know from his litigation tactics and email correspondences that have appeared on dockets that he is not a kind or professional man, he is a cruel, aggressive, impolite bully. A wolf in sheep's clothing!

Can you imagine having your child exposed to this guy's "mentoring" in church activities, etc?

He is poisonous. Playing victim and faking religious faith as a means of appearing respectable are hallmarks of the sociopath.

C-3



what the ? says:
February 5, 2013 at 21:50
0 0 Rate This

I plan on posting a link to this assholes work in the church bulletin in Ft. Lauderdale. What a sorry excuse for a man. And I always thought Lipscomb was a Jewish name. Boo hoo hoo. Somebody called me a name. What a dick.

Reply

C-4

How can a self-described Christian be involved in what Mr. Lipscomb has been doing?

- He is an extreme cynic and a hypocrite
- He has a dissociative identity disorder (multiple personality)
- There is nothing wrong in being a Christian and a porn copyright troll simultaneously

Vote View Results PollDaddy.com

C-5

FIGHT COPYRIGHT TROLLS

Let's demote copyright troll species' status first to endangered, then to extinct

stay updated via rss

THE DAY WE FIGHT BACK

search this site

ANNOUNCEMENTS

An attorney who has represented several dozen folks accused of movie and music downloads would like to speak with individuals who have settled a porn downloading claim (for whatever reason) when they did not download the alleged file or movie.

The attorney is trying to determine if there is a pattern to those sorts of settlements which could form the basis for legal action.

The conversation would be completely confidential, and would not create any obligation on your part. If you are interested in speaking with that attorney, please send him an email to the following address: attorney@fightcopyrighttrolls.com.

FOLLOW AND DONATE

Follow @fightcopytrolls 1,612 followers

Flattr this

Donate

Attorney, who has been bastardizing the litigation process for years, gets offended by the word "bastardize" 25

Posted: February 5, 2013 by SJD in Lipscomb
Tags: 12-cv-00372, Bittorrent, Brigham Field, Colette Leah, Colette Pelissier, Copyright, Copyright Troll, Extortion, K-Beech, Keith Lipscomb, Patrick Collins, Raw Films, Third Degree Films, x-art, x-art.com, XArt

6 Votes

While I was concentrating on John Steele's outfit, I'm afraid other trolls have been feeling neglected, especially a mega villain Keith Lipscomb. To rehabilitate myself, I looked into my backlog and found this gem.

Back in November, in a slow-moving class action lawsuit (*Jennifer Barker and Sabree Hutchinson v. Copyright Trolls*, KYWD 12-cv-00372), plaintiffs' attorney Kenneth Henry used a pretty mild description of what Keith Lipscomb and his gang have been doing for about two years:

"To bastardize the litigation process and abuse the court system as the Defendants have done, and now to object to the taking of early discovery vis-à-vis their claim that this Court lacks personal jurisdiction over them is yet another display of the Defendants' total disregard for the courts and the rights of those with whom they deal."

In my (and not only my) opinion, Mr. Lipscomb's "business" is better described as an extortion racket rather than a mere "bastardization of the litigation process." Yet Lipscomb took an offense and demanded to strike that reasonable assessment from Henry's motion:

"Plaintiffs repeated accusations that Defendants are "bastardiz[ing] the litigation process" and have purposefully abused the court system in order to harass innocent individuals nationwide are baseless