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Abstract. Determining deep holes is an important topic in decoding Reed-Solomon codes. In a previous paper [8], we showed that the received word \( u \) is a deep hole of the standard Reed-Solomon codes \([q - 1, k]_q\) if its Lagrange interpolation polynomial is the sum of monomial of degree \( q - 2 \) and a polynomial of degree at most \( k - 1 \). In this paper, we extend this result by giving a new class of deep holes of the generalized Reed-Solomon codes.

1. Introduction and the statement of the main result

Let \( F_q \) be the finite field of \( q \) elements with characteristic \( p \). Let \( D = \{x_1, ..., x_n\} \) be a subset of \( F_q \), which is called the evaluation set. The generalized Reed-Solomon code \( C_q(D, k) \) of length \( n \) and dimension \( k \) over \( F_q \) is defined as follows:

\[
C_q(D, k) = \{ (f(x_1), ..., f(x_n)) \mid f(x) \in F_q[x], \deg f(x) \leq k - 1 \}.
\]

If \( D = F_q^* \), it is called standard Reed-Solomon code. If \( D = F_q \), it is called extended Reed-Solomon code. For any \([n, k]_q\) linear code \( C \), the minimum distance \( d(C) \) is defined by

\[
d(C) := \min\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in C, x \neq y\},
\]

where \( d(\cdot, \cdot) \) denotes the Hamming distance of two words which is the number of different entries of them and \( w(\cdot) \) denotes the Hamming weight of a word which is the number of its non-zero entries. Thus we have

\[
d(C) = \min\{d(x, 0) \mid 0 \neq x \in C\} = \min\{w(x) \mid 0 \neq x \in C\}.
\]

The error distance to code \( C \) of a received word \( u \in F_q^n \) is defined by \( d(u, C) := \min\{d(u, v) \mid v \in C\} \). Clearly \( d(u, C) = 0 \) if and only if \( u \in C \). The covering radius \( \rho(C) \) of code \( C \) is defined to be \( \max\{d(u, C) \mid u \in F_q^n\} \). For generalized Reed-Solomon code \( C = C_q(D, k) \), we have that the minimum distance \( d(C) = n - k + 1 \) and the covering radius \( \rho(C) = n - k \). The most important algorithmic problem in coding theory is the maximum likelihood decoding (MLD): Given a received word, find a word \( v \in C \) such that \( d(u, v) = d(u, C) \). Therefore, it is very crucial to decide \( d(u, C) \) for the word \( u \). Sudan [6] and Guruswami-Sudan [2] provided a polynomial time list decoding algorithm for the decoding of \( u \) when \( d(u, C) \leq n - \sqrt{nk} \). When the error distance increases, the decoding becomes NP-complete for generalized Reed-Solomon codes [3].
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When decoding the generalized Reed-Solomon code $C$, for a received word $u = (u_1, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we define the Lagrange interpolation polynomial $u(x)$ of $u$ by

$$u(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],$$

i.e., $u(x)$ is the unique polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$ such that $u(x_i) = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we define the degree of $u(x)$ to be the degree of $u$, i.e., $\text{deg}(u) = \text{deg}(u(x))$. It is clear that $d(u, C) = 0$ if and only if $\text{deg}(u) \leq k - 1$. Evidently, we have the following simple bounds.

**Lemma 1.1.** If $k \leq \text{deg}(u) \leq n - 1$, we have the inequality

$$n - \text{deg}(u) \leq d(u, C) \leq n - k = \rho.$$

Let $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. If $d(u, C) = n - k$, then the word $u$ is called a deep hole. If $\text{deg}(u) = k$, then the upper bound is equal to the lower bound, and so $d(u, C) = n - k$ which implies that $u$ is a deep hole. This immediately gives $(q - 1)q^k$ deep holes. We call these deep holes the trivial deep holes. It is an interesting open problem to determine all deep holes. Cheng and Murray [1] showed that for the standard Reed-Solomon code $[p - 1, k]_p$ with $k < p^{3/4 - \epsilon}$, the received vector $(f(\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^*}$ cannot be a deep hole if $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $k + d$ for $1 \leq d < p^{3/4 - \epsilon}$. Based on this result they conjectured that there is no other deep holes except the trivial ones mentioned above. Li and Wan [5] use the method of character sums to obtain a bound on the non-existence of deep holes for extended Reed-Solomon code $C_q(\mathbb{F}_q, k)$. Wu and Hong [8] found a counterexample to the Cheng-Murray conjecture [1] about standard Reed-Solomon codes.

Let $l$ be a positive integer. In this paper, we investigate the deep holes of generalized Reed-Solomon codes whose evaluation set $D := \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{a_1, ..., a_l\}$, where $a_1, ..., a_l$ are any fixed $l$ distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Our method here is different from [8]. Write $D = \{x_1, ..., x_q - 1\}$. Let

$$f(D) := (f(x_1), ..., f(x_q - 1)),$$

for any $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Then we can rewrite the generalized Reed-Solomon code with evaluation set $D$ to be

$$C_q(D, k) = \{f(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{q - 1} | f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \text{deg}(f(x)) \leq k - 1\}.$$ 

Actually, by constructing some suitable polynomials, we find a new class of deep holes. That is, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $q \geq 4$ and $2 \leq k \leq q - l - 1$. For $1 \leq j \leq l$, define

$$(1.1) \quad u_j(x) := \lambda_j(x - a_j)^{q - 2} + r_j(x),$$

where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $r_j(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$. Then the received words $u_1(D), ..., u_l(D)$ are deep holes of the generalized Reed-Solomon code $C_q(D, k)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 2.

The materials presented here is part of the first author’s PhD thesis [7], which was finished on April 15, 2012.
2. The proof of Theorem 1.2

Evidently, for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{q-l} (x - x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{l} (x - a_j) = x^q - x = 0,
\]
and for any $x \in D$, we have
\[
N(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{q-l} (x - x_i) = 0.
\]
For $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, by $\tilde{f}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ we denote the reduction of $f(x)$ mod $N(x)$. Therefore, for any $x_i \in D$, we have $f(x_i) = \tilde{f}(x_i)$.

First we give a lemma about error distance. In what follows, we let $G$ denote the set of all the polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree at most $k - 1$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $\#(D) = n$ and let $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be two words. If $u = \lambda v + f_{\leq k-1}(D)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $f_{\leq k-1}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$, then
\[
d(u, C_q(D, k)) = d(v, C_q(D, k)).
\]
Furthermore, $u$ is a deep hole of $C_q(D, k)$ if and only if $v$ is a deep hole of $C_q(D, k)$.

**Proof.** From the definition of error distance we immediately get that
\[
d(u, C_q(D, k)) = \min_{g \in G} \{d(u, g(D))\}
\]
\[
= \min_{g \in G} d(\lambda v + f_{\leq k-1}(D), g(D))
\]
\[
= \min_{g \in G} d(\lambda v + f_{\leq k-1}(D), g(D) + f_{\leq k-1}(D))
\]
\[
= \min_{g \in G} d(\lambda v, g(D))
\]
\[
= \min_{g \in G} d(\lambda v, \lambda g(D)) \text{ (since } \lambda \neq 0)\]
\[
= \min_{g \in G} d(v, g(D))
\]
\[
= d(v, C_q(D, k)).
\]
So Lemma [2.1] is proved. \hfill \Box

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. One can deduce that
\[
d(f(D), g(D)) = \#\{x_i \in D \mid f(x_i) \neq g(x_i)\}
\]
\[
= \#\{x_i \in D \mid f(x_i) - g(x_i) \neq 0\}
\]
\[
= \#(D) - \#\{x_i \in D \mid f(x_i) - g(x_i) = 0\}. \tag{2.1}
\]
Then by Equation (2.1), we infer that
\[
d(f(D), C_q(D, k)) = \min_{h \in G} d(f(D), h(D))
\]
\[
= \min_{h \in G} \{\#(D) - \#\{x_i \in D \mid f(x_i) - h(x_i) = 0\}\}
\]
\[
= q - l - \max_{h \in G} \#\{x_i \in D \mid f(x_i) - h(x_i) = 0\}. \tag{2.2}
\]
Let $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $f_j(x) := (x - a_j)^{q-2} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. For any $y \in D$, we have $y - a_j \neq 0$, and so $f_j(y) = \frac{1}{y - a_j}$. We claim that

\begin{equation}
\max_{h \in G} \# \{ y \in D \mid f_j(y) - h(y) = 0 \} = k.
\end{equation}

In order to prove the claim, we pick $k$ distinct elements $c_{j_1}, ..., c_{j_k}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{ a_{j_l} - a_j \}_{l=1}^l$ (since $k \leq q - l - 1$). Now we define a polynomial $g_j(x)$ of degree $k - 1$ as follows:

$$g_j(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left( 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - c_{j_i}^{-1}x) \right) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x].$$

Since for any $y \in D$, we have

$$f_j(y) - g_j(y - a_j) = \frac{1}{y - a_j} - g_j(y - a_j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{y - a_j} (1 - (y - a_j)g_j(y - a_j))$$

$$= \frac{1}{y - a_j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - c_{j_i}^{-1}(y - a_j)).$$

it then follows that $c_{j_1} + a_j, ..., c_{j_k} + a_j$ are the roots of $f_j(x) - g_j(x - a_j) = 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Noticing that $c_{j_1}, ..., c_{j_k} \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{ a_1 - a_j, ..., a_l - a_j \}$, we have $c_{j_1} + a_j, ..., c_{j_k} + a_j \in D$. Therefore

\begin{equation}
\# \{ y \in D \mid f_j(y) - g_j(y - a_j) = 0 \} = k.
\end{equation}

On the other hand, for any $h \in G$, $1 - (x - a_j)h(x) = 0$ has at most $k$ roots over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and so it has at most $k$ roots over $D$. But $\frac{1}{y - a_j} \neq 0$ for any $y \in D$. Thus

$$f_j(y) - h(y - a_j) = \frac{1}{y - a_j} - h(y - a_j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{y - a_j} (1 - (y - a_j)h(y - a_j)).$$

Hence for any $h \in G$, we have

\begin{equation}
\# \{ y \in D \mid f_j(y) - h(y) = 0 \} \leq k.
\end{equation}

From (2.4) and (2.5) we arrive at the desired result (2.3). The claim is proved.

Now from (2.2) and (2.3), we derive immediately that $d(f_j(D), C_q(D, k)) = q - l - k$. In other words, $f_j(D)$ is a deep hole of the generalized Reed-Solomon $C_q(D, k)$. Finally by Lemma 2.1 we know that $u_j(D)$ is a deep hole of $C_q(D, k)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \hfill $\Box$
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