Dynamical aspects of quantum entanglement for coupled mapping systems
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We investigate how the dynamical production of quantum entanglement for weakly coupled mapping systems is influenced by the chaotic dynamics of the corresponding classical system. We derive a general perturbative formula for the entanglement production rate which is defined by using the linear entropy of the subsystem. This formula predicts that the increment of the strength of chaos does not enhance the production rate of entanglement when the coupling is weak enough and the subsystems are strongly chaotic. The prediction is confirmed by numerical experiments for coupled kicked tops and rotors. We also discuss the entanglement production using the Husimi representation of the reduced density matrix.
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1. Introduction

Quantum information processings have been recognized as a new paradigm of science, because of its fundamentality, applicability, and interdisciplinarity. For a quantum computer, a typical example of the paradigm, to be really effective, it must consist of a large number of (interacting) qubits, and its size cannot be microscopic. Such a “complex” structure of an effective quantum computer causes many problems due to decoherence and “quantum chaos”. 3)

Here we investigate the relation between (quantum) entanglement (which is an essential ingredient of quantum information processings) and chaotic behavior of the corresponding classical systems. The systems we employ are weakly coupled mapping systems, and each subsystem can be chaotic in the classical limit. We can imagine as follows: A quantum computer has many processing units which are “chaotic” in some sense (e.g., in the classical limit), and they weakly interact with each other. How much entanglement is generated between the units in such a situation? This question is interesting from the point of robustness of quantum computation. 3)

Due to the weakness of the coupling, we utilize a perturbation theory to analyze the entanglement production in the system considered. In this paper, we discuss generality of our formula by employing two typical chaotic systems, i.e., coupled kicked tops and rotors (Sec. 2). Numerical results for both systems are presented (Sec. 3). To complement our previous papers, we also discuss the wavefunction properties of the subsystems in the entanglement production region employing the Husimi representation (Sec. 4).

2. Coupled mapping systems and the perturbative formula for entanglement production

Here we consider a composite system which consists of two subsystems. We denote one-step time-evolution operators for each subsystem as $U_1$ and $U_2$. We also introduce another one-step time-evolution operator $U_\epsilon$ which describes the interaction between them. Furthermore, the coupling time-evolution operator is assumed to be

$$U_\epsilon = \exp\{-i\epsilon V/h\}$$

where $V = \sum_\alpha q^{(1)}_\alpha \otimes q^{(2)}_\alpha$ with $q^{(i)}_\alpha$ being a $\alpha$-th dynamical variable for subsystem $i$, and $\epsilon$ is a coupling parameter. The latter is used in the perturbative treatment below.

Hence the one-step time evolution for the whole system is described by

$$\Psi(t + 1) = U_\epsilon U_1 U_2 \Psi(t).$$

Since we only examine the case where the whole system is in a pure state, we quantify the entanglement production by the linear entropy of the subsystem

$$S_{\text{lin}}(t) = 1 - \text{Tr}_1(\rho^{(1)}(t)^2),$$

where $\rho^{(1)}(t)$ is the reduced density operator for the first subsystem.

Using the time-dependent perturbation theory, we derive a formula (see Refs. 4, 5 for a simpler case and its derivation) for the linear entropy: $S_{\text{lin}}(t) = S_{\text{lin}}^{\text{PT}}(t) + O(\epsilon^3)$ as

$$S_{\text{lin}}^{\text{PT}}(t) = S_0 \sum_{l=1}^{t} \sum_{m=1}^{t} D(l, m)$$

where $S_0 = 2\epsilon^2/h^2$ and $D(l, m)$ is a correlation function of the uncoupled system:

$$D(l, m) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} C^{(1)}_{\alpha, \beta}(l, m) C^{(2)}_{\alpha, \beta}(l, m)$$

with

$$C^{(i)}_{\alpha, \beta}(l, m) = \langle q^{(i)}_\alpha(l) q^{(i)}_\beta(m) \rangle_i - \langle q^{(i)}_\alpha(l) \rangle_i \langle q^{(i)}_\beta(m) \rangle_i$$

and $q^{(i)}_\alpha(l) = (U_1)^l q^{(i)}_\alpha U_1^\dagger$ etc. represents a free time evolution of the subsystem’s variable without interaction.
In the next section, we apply this result to two model mapping systems, i.e., coupled kicked tops and rotors.

3. Stronger chaos does not imply larger entanglement production rate

We introduce two coupled kicked systems as follows. Coupled kicked tops are described by the following unitary operators:

\[ U_1 = e^{-ik_1 J^2_1/(2\hbar)} e^{-i\pi J_{y1}/(2\hbar)}, \]
\[ U_2 = e^{-ik_2 J^2_2/(2\hbar)} e^{-i\pi J_{y2}/(2\hbar)}, \]
\[ U_e = e^{-i\pi J_{x1} J_{x2}/(\hbar)}, \]

and coupled kicked rotors are by the following:

\[ U_1 = e^{-i\pi I^2_1/(2\hbar)} e^{-ik_1 \cos \theta_1/\hbar}, \]
\[ U_2 = e^{-i\pi I^2_2/(2\hbar)} e^{-ik_2 \cos \theta_2/\hbar}, \]
\[ U_e = e^{-i\gamma (\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\hbar}, \]

where \( k_1, k_2 \) represent the strength of nonlinearity related to chaotic properties of the systems. For the detailed information of the former and latter systems, see Refs. 4, 5 and Ref. 6, respectively. We note that the periodic boundary conditions with period 2\( \pi \) are imposed for both variables \( \theta \) and \( I_i \).

As an initial state, we take a product, i.e., separable state of spin coherent states (coherent states) for coupled kicked tops (rotors). In Fig. 1, according to Eq. (3), we calculate the time evolution of the linear entropy for the “chaotic” initial conditions, i.e., their corresponding classical states in phase space are embedded in chaotic seas. For both cases, the linear entropy increases linearly as a function of time in this transient region, which is called \( t \)-linear entanglement production region in the following, before the “equilibration” of the entropy. In these cases, the coupling is in a sense weak, and we apply the formula, Eq. (4), to these situations.

From a classical intuition, we expect that the correlation functions \( D(l, m) \) decay very quickly as a function of \( |l - m| \) for strongly chaotic cases (this is numerically confirmed for a kicked top \(^5\) and a kicked rotor \(^7\)), so it is assumed to be

\[ D(l, m) \simeq D_0 e^{-\gamma |l - m|}. \]

Using above, we can easily derive the following for the entanglement production rate:

\[ \Gamma = \frac{dS_{\text{lin}}^\text{PT}}{dt} \bigg|_{t > \Gamma_0/\gamma} \simeq \Gamma_0 \coth(\gamma/2) \]

with \( \Gamma_0 = S_0 D_0 \). Since \( \gamma \) often becomes large as the nonlinear parameters increase, and there is a numerical experiment that \( \gamma \) and the sum of positive Lyapunov exponents are correlated in the coupled kicked tops, \(^5\) this formula implies that strong chaos (\( \gamma \to \infty \)) leads to a saturation of the entanglement production rate (\( \Gamma \to \Gamma_0 \)). This prediction is actually confirmed by numerical experiments for both systems (coupled kicked tops and rotors) as shown in Fig. 2.

The above is a result for strongly chaotic cases. In contrast, we comment for weakly chaotic cases using the above formula: For strongly chaotic cases with bounded phase space, \( D_0 \) saturates to a certain value determined by a statistical argument, whereas, for weakly chaotic cases, \( D_0 \) grows up as the nonlinear parameters increase. This roughly explains the common belief: chaos enhances entanglement for weakly chaotic systems. (See the cited references in Refs. 4, 5.) This seems to contradict our result, but the point is that we focus on strongly chaotic cases where \( D_0 \) saturates, and in such a situation, stronger chaos saturates the entanglement production rate for weakly coupled mapping systems. We also comment that this formula can be easily extended to describe flow systems with continuous time, \(^5\) which is useful when applying to more realistic systems.

4. Husimi representation as a tool to study entanglement production

The linear entropy which we employ as a measure of entanglement is calculated from the reduced density operator \( \rho^{(1)}(t) \). Hence it is natural to ask what happens in \( \rho^{(1)}(t) \) itself when the entanglement production occurs. Here we shall address this issue using the results of coupled kicked tops.
Fig. 2. Nonlinear parameter dependence of the normalized entanglement production rate ($\Gamma/\Gamma_0$) for tops (a) and rotors (b). The plots for five different initial conditions embedded in the chaotic seas are superimposed. We take $k_1 = k_2 = k$. The $k$ dependency of $\Gamma$ for the coupled rotors exhibits oscillations. We suggest that the oscillations are similar ones that are observed in the diffusion constant of a kicked rotor due to higher-order time correlations.\(^8\)

In Fig. 3 (b), we show the absolute value of the reduced density matrix $\rho_{m_1,m_2}(t) = \langle jm | \rho^{(1)}(t) | jm \rangle$ during the $t$-linear entanglement production region (see Sec. 3). We also show the corresponding density matrix of a single kicked top [Fig. 3 (a)]. Here $|jm\rangle$ is the simultaneous eigenstate of $J^2$ and $J_z$ for top 1. From the previous works,\(^{11}\) we expect that the decay of the off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix reflects entanglement production. Such an analysis is useful when the entanglement production becomes almost equilibrated, however, two cases in Fig. 3 are very hard to distinguish since the system is in the $t$-linear entanglement production region. (Of course, after a long time, the off-diagonal elements finally decay.) To solve this issue, we propose to examine the Husimi representation of the density operator.\(^{12}\)

The Husimi function for the kicked top system is defined by

$$H(\theta, \phi) = \langle \theta, \phi | \rho^{(1)}(t) | \theta, \phi \rangle,$$

where $|\theta, \phi\rangle$ is a spin-coherent state given by

$$|jm|\theta, \phi\rangle = \frac{\gamma^j-m}{1+|\gamma|^2} \sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} |jm\rangle \tag{15}$$

with $\gamma = e^{i\phi} \tan(\theta/2)$. For simplicity of visualization, we take a smaller value of $j$ ($j = 30$ instead of $j = 80$ used in Figs. 1 and 2). Though the normal-scale plots do not show any significant fingerprint of entanglement production due to the interaction (Fig. 4), the log-scale plots do show it (Fig. 5). The Husimi zeros are easily confirmed in Fig. 5 (a). They become local minima with positive values as the interaction strength gets larger [Fig. 5 (b)]. Husimi zeros are considered to characterize “complexity” of the wavefunction,\(^9\) so the quantification of this local minima, the traces of the Husimi zeros, might characterize the entanglement production from “complexity theory” point of view.\(^{10}\) Many measures for complexity of a Husimi function have been proposed so far,\(^9,12\) but we suppose that another measure, e.g., the phase-space averaged curvature of a Husimi function, is needed to quantify the complexity related to entanglement production. This issue will be discussed elsewhere.

5. Summary and outlook

We have investigated how the production rate of quantum entanglement is affected by the chaotic properties of the corresponding classical system using coupled kicked
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The contour plots of the Husimi functions corresponding to the density matrices in Fig. 3. The scale is normal.

Fig. 4. The contour plots of the Husimi functions corresponding to the density matrices in Fig. 3. The scale is normal.

The coupling is weak enough and the subsystems are strongly chaotic. We believe that this conclusion is general and applicable to any mapping system with bounded phase space. It is often believed that chaos enhances entanglement, but that can be the case for weakly chaotic regions, and such effects can be also explained by the factor $D_0$ in our formula, Eq. (14). Note again that the above conclusion is basically for strongly chaotic regions where $D_0$ saturates. We also proposed to use the logarithmic plot of the Husimi representation of a reduced density operator to investigate entanglement production in coupled quantum systems.

Since the dynamical aspects of entanglement production have been studied in relation with quantum computing, we hope that our analysis will be useful in the studies of quantum information processings. In Ref. 3, Prosen and Znidaric showed that quantum computing can be more robust with the use of quantum chaotic systems compared to the corresponding regular systems. We expect that the saturation of entanglement production found here will be utilized in such a situation. In addition, entanglement production is strongly related to decoherence processes, so we expect that there are many applications of our results for quantum dynamical processes like quantum optical processes in environments or chemical reactions in solvents.
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