A quantum Monte Carlo algorithm for softcore boson systems
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An efficient Quantum Monte Carlo algorithm for the simulation of bosonic systems on a lattice in a grand canonical ensemble is proposed. It is based on the mapping of bosonic models to the spin models in the limit of the infinite total spin quantum number. It is demonstrated, how this limit may be taken explicitly in the algorithm, eliminating the systematic errors. The efficiency of the algorithm is examined for the non-interacting lattice boson model and compared with the stochastic series expansion method with the heat-bath type scattering probability of the random walker.

During the last few years there was an increasing number of reports on strongly correlated quantum systems. A lot of attention has been focused on quantum phase transitions [1] at zero temperature, which can be observed when parameters such as the particle concentration and/or the interaction constants are varied. In order to observe the quantum phase transition experimentally, one must be able to precisely control the parameter(s), driving the transition, which is usually very difficult in real experimental situations. Therefore, only analytical theories and numerical simulations have been able to provide an accurate description of the critical behavior, associated with quantum phase transitions. Quite recently, however, a very precise tuning of parameters was achieved in a system of ultra-cold atoms trapped in an optical lattice, formed by the intersection of laser beams [2]. A transition from Mott insulating phase to a superfluid phase was observed. It was argued that the system is well described by the bosonic Hubbard model on a d-dimensional lattice, and comparisons were made with numerical simulations [3, 4]. This is just one example of an experimental realization of a strongly correlated quantum system, and a lot of experimental work will be done along these lines in the nearest future. We believe that it is very important in such studies to be able to provide an accurate and simple theoretical description of the experimental system. Since the analytical solution of the models of strongly correlated systems is usually impossible, such a description may be in most cases provided only by the numerical simulations.

While efficient and powerful Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) algorithms exist for general quantum spin systems, the progress in the development of the algorithms for the numerical simulations of bosonic systems with no hard core is much more modest. In the present paper we describe a novel QMC algorithm, allowing efficient simulations of the bosonic models with short-range interactions on a lattice in the grand canonical ensemble, with average particle number controlled by the chemical potential.

Recently an efficient QMC algorithm for the simulation of spin models with arbitrary spin quantum number S on the lattice was proposed and implemented [4]. It is based on coarse-graining of the conventional loop algorithm with split-spin representation, in which each spin-S operator is replaced by a sum of 2S Pauli matrices. One update cycle of worldline configuration in this algorithm consists of a) placement of the vertices on the space-time lattice; b) creation of a pair of spin-raising or spin-lowering worms; c) propagation of one of the worms through the lattice with scattering on the vertices, resulting in changes of worldline configuration; d) worm annihilation. The algorithm for a particular model is thus defined by specifying a number of parameters, depending on the local worldline configuration: density of vertices, scattering probabilities at vertices and the probabilities for creation and annihilation of a pair of worms.

Holstein-Primakov (HP) transformation [4] gives a relation between the spin systems and the boson systems. In spin wave theories, the transformation is used for mapping a spin problem into a boson problem. Here we do the opposite in order to derive a Monte Carlo algorithm for bosonic systems from the above-mentioned one for spin systems. The relation can be written as $S_i^+ = b_i^+(2S - b_i^+)b_i$, $S_i^- = (2S - b_i^+)b_i$, and $S_i^z = n_i - S$, where $S_i^+$, $S_i^-$, and $S_i^z$ are spin operators on the site i, and $b_i^+$ and $b_i$ are the boson creation and annihilation operators. At a first glance it appears that the algorithm derived from the HP transformation would be directly applicable only to the boson systems that have an artificial limitation of number of particles per site (i.e., it cannot exceed 2S). We show that this is not the case in the following.

We lift the limitation by taking the limit of large S. Examining the HP transformation, we note that if $n_i$ will be kept finite by the chemical potential, in the large S limit we can neglect higher order terms making no error, and keep only the lowest order in $n_i = b_i^+b_i$ in the HP
transformation which leaves us with

\[ b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2S}} S^- \quad \text{and} \quad b^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2S}} S^+. \quad (1) \]

Mapping (1) allows to rewrite the Hamiltonian of a bosonic model in terms of spin operators. Thus, if there is an algorithm for spin systems with arbitrary \( S \), and if the infinite \( S \) limit of this algorithm exists, we can easily obtain an algorithm for the bosonic systems.

To demonstrate this idea, we consider a simple model of non-interacting softcore bosons on a \( d \)-dimensional hypercubic lattice of linear size \( L \) with the Hamiltonian

\[ H = -\frac{t}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (b_i^\dagger b_j + b_j^\dagger b_i) - \mu \sum_i b_i^\dagger b_i, \quad (2) \]

where \( t \) is the (positive) hopping amplitude, \( \mu \) is the chemical potential and the first sum is over the pairs of nearest-neighbor sites. Using the mapping (1) we can replace the bosonic operators in (2) with the spin operators, leading to a model equivalent to the original bosonic model in the limit of infinite \( S \):

\[ H = -\frac{t}{4S} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (S_i^+ S_j^- + S_j^+ S_i^-) - \mu \sum_i S_i^z. \quad (3) \]

Since this is an \( XY \) spin model, an efficient algorithm is available for any \( S \) \( \equiv \). Our task is, therefore, to take the infinite \( S \) limit of the algorithm. It turns out that all the parameters defining the coarse-grained algorithm have well-defined values in this limit as well. Below we describe the procedure of taking this limit and give a detailed description of the softcore boson algorithm for the non-interacting model. Generalizations to models with interactions, such as the on-site repulsive interaction and short ranged repulsive and/or attractive interactions, are straightforward. This, for instance, makes the present idea readily applicable to the boson Hubbard model.

Naturally, boson occupation number must be positive, which leads to a restriction on the possible values of chemical potential: \( \mu < -dt \) or \( |\mu| > dt \). To apply the coarse-grained algorithm we can use the values of parameters, derived for the general \( XXZ \) model in Table I of Ref. \( \equiv \). Relationship between the parameters in Ref. \( \equiv \) and the parameters of our model is

\[ h = -\frac{|\mu|}{2dS}, \quad J = \frac{t}{2S}, \quad J' = 0. \quad (4) \]

One has to use the results of Ref. \( \equiv \) with caution, since they are given for the case of positive \( h \). Therefore, in order to use them for the present problem, we need to change the sign of the field in \( \equiv \) and at the same time reinterpret particle numbers denoted by \( l \) and \( m \) in Ref. \( \equiv \). Namely, in the present article \( l \) denotes the number of holes, whereas \( \bar{l} \equiv 2S - l \) denotes the number of particles. Accordingly, while taking the infinite \( S \) limit with fixed density of particles we have to assume that \( l \) and \( m \) are close to \( 2S \), whereas \( \bar{l} \) and \( \bar{m} \) are of order unity.

Probability of creation of a pair of spin-raising or particle-number-decreasing (PND) worms in the coarse-grained algorithm is \( \bar{l}/2S \) and that of a pair of lowering or particle-number-increasing (PNI) ones is \( l/2S \). By taking the limit \( S \to \infty \) we find, that the probability to create a pair of PND worms is zero. Corresponding probability for a pair of PNI worms is then unity, indicating that our cycle will always start with a pair of PNI worms. That, however, does not mean that the number of particles will be constantly increasing, since the worm changes its type to the opposite one every time it changes direction as a result of scattering on a vertex. Once the traveling worm returns to the point of origin, it can either annihilate there, ending the cycle, or pass through. The probability of annihilation of a pair of PND worms is \( 1/\bar{l} \) and zero for the PNI ones.

Remaining parameters, such as density of vertices and the vertex scattering probabilities, needed for the construction of the algorithm, can be derived by examining the values in Table I of Ref. \( \equiv \) for region IV and taking the value of \( S \) to infinity. First of all, the vertex density \( B \) is given by \( B = hlm + l\bar{m} + l\bar{m})/2 \). To list non-zero scattering probabilities, using the notation of Ref. \( \equiv \) we have

\[ P \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ l \\ m \\ l^- \\ m \end{array} \right) = 2S(h - J)/(2B), \quad (5) \]

\[ P \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ l \\ m \\
^- \\ m \end{array} \right) = mJ/(2B), \quad (6) \]

\[ P \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ l \\ m \\
^- \\ m \end{array} \right) = \bar{m}J/(2B), \quad (7) \]

\[ P \left( \begin{array}{c} l + 1 \\ \vdots \\ l^+ \\ m + 1 \\
^- \\ m - 1 \end{array} \right) = 1/\bar{l}, \quad (8) \]

\[ P \left( \begin{array}{c} l - 1 \\ \vdots \\ l^- \\ m \end{array} \right) = 1/l. \quad (9) \]

Here we have set \( J' = 0 \) and the superscript \( + \) or \( - \) indicates that the type of the incoming worm is PND or PNI respectively. Seemingly, there is a problem with density of vertices becoming infinite in the infinite \( S \) limit. However, it should be noted that all non-trivial probabilities of the scattering events are proportional to \( 1/B \), so that the density of the scattering events remains finite. In other words, in the limit of infinite \( S \) the situation is identical to the one that occurs when taking the continuous imaginary time limit in a conventional loop algorithm \( \equiv \). Exploiting the analogy to the continuous imaginary time loop algorithm, we can easily construct a procedure for finding the time of the next scattering event. Namely, instead of examining each vertex, it is possible to generate the time of next event as a Poisson-distributed random number where the average time interval or the density depends on the local spin configuration and the type of the scattering process.

We can readily obtain the density of such events by multiplying the scattering probabilities \( \equiv \), \( \equiv \) and \( \equiv \) by \( B \) and take the infinite \( S \) limit. Since Eq. \( \equiv \) yields
zero, we have two non-zero scattering densities for intervals that have no kinks in it:

\[
\Lambda \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & l & m \\ \hline \bar{l} & m \\
\end{array} \right) = \frac{|\mu - dt|}{2d}, \quad \text{and} \\
\Lambda \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} \tau & l & m \\ \hline \tau & m \\
\end{array} \right) = \frac{t}{2}. \quad (10)
\]

For the scattering probability at kinks, only the scattering probability \( \delta \) will remain non-zero, since the probability \( \kappa \) vanishes in the infinite \( S \) limit.

In order to describe the algorithm in detail, we introduce a concept of a constant environment interval (CEI) on which the moving worm resides. A CEI is defined as an interval ahead of the worm in which the environment of the worm does not change in the imaginary time direction, i.e., the worldline state changes neither on the current site nor on any of the neighboring sites. This interval is bounded by one of three events, closest to the worm: a) a kink on the current site, b) a kink on one of the neighboring sites, or c) the point of origin, where the other worm waits for the moving worm.

Worldline configuration update cycle for the non-interacting model may be summarized as follows:

1) Choose an arbitrary space-time point to place a pair of worms, one of which will move, producing the changes in the configuration, and another one will mark the point of origin. Always start with a PNI (spin-lowering) worm. Choose the arbitrary direction (up or down) for the worm’s initial movement.

2) Determine the CEI.

3) For each type of scattering and for each nearest neighbor site, which is a candidate for the final scattering destination, generate the time of the next possible scattering event stochastically according to the Poisson distribution with the densities \( \delta \) and \( \kappa \).

4) If the advancement of the worm by the smallest of these times does not take the worm out of CEI, implement the corresponding scattering event. In case of a back-scattering event, change the type of the worm to the opposite one. Go back to 2.

4') If the advancement of the worm get the worm out of CEI, advance the worm to the end of the CEI.

5) If the end point of the CEI is not a kink or the original starting point, go back to 2.

5') If the end point of the CEI is a kink, attempt to scatter on it according to \( \delta \). If the scattering fails or is not applicable, let the worm skip the kink and go on. Go back to 2.

5'') If the end point of the CEI is the original starting point, stochastically determine, whether they will annihilate with the probability \( 1/l \) where \( l \) is the particle number on the CEI. If it annihilates, the update cycle is terminated. Otherwise go back to 2.

After a number of full update cycles (resulting in worm annihilation) the observables are measured.

To test the validity and evaluate the efficiency of the algorithm we have performed a number of tests, comparing the results of QMC simulation of the non-interacting boson model in three dimensions to the exact results. Although the model does not have any interaction terms, it is non-trivial enough to provide us with excellent grounds for testing because it displays Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) and the observables may be calculated analytically.

We have performed simulations at \( k_B T = 2t \) at 10 different values of the chemical potential, chosen so that the resulting average occupation number would be \( n \equiv \langle N \rangle / V = 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 1.0 \). Three different system sizes are considered: \( L = 4, 8, 16 \). If not stated otherwise, for each value of system size and chemical potential we have performed 50,000 cycles for equilibration, and another 50,000 cycles for measurement. The 50,000 measurements cycles were divided into 10 bins of the equal length for estimating the statistical error.

In all cases we investigated, including cases close to criticality and ones deep inside the superfluid phase, we found an excellent agreement between the numerical QMC data and the exact analytical results. As an example, Fig. 1 shows the dependence of the compressibility \( \kappa \equiv (\partial \langle N \rangle / \partial \mu)_T = (k_B T)^{-1} \langle (N^2) - \langle N \rangle^2 \rangle / L^d \) as a function of \( n \) at a fixed temperature \( k_B T = 2t \). The
The directed loop algorithm is quite general and powerful method, applicable, in principle, to any quantum system. However, it is up to the user to find a set of scattering probabilities, optimizing the efficiency of the algorithm for a given model. Due to a huge freedom in the choice of algorithm parameters, in most cases this is a highly nontrivial task. In addition, to apply the directed loop algorithm to the softcore boson systems, one has to set an artificial upper bound for the site occupation number. This upper bound must be taken large enough to make the simulation free from the systematic error. This could be a serious disadvantage especially for bosonic system with a random chemical potential where a large number of particles may reside on the same site. The present algorithm is free from these disadvantages. For comparison purposes we have used the directed loop algorithm with a set of simple heat-bath scattering probabilities and the site occupation number was limited by $n_i \leq 20$.

While it is hard to make a quantitative comparison of two essentially different algorithms, we have established that our proposed algorithm in all considered cases performs better, i.e. in all cases smaller computational time was needed to reach the same error bars. While the comparison of computational times does not unequivocally prove that our algorithm is more efficient, we note that in the vicinity of the critical point and in the superfluid phase we have failed to obtain the reliable estimates for the observables with the directed loop algorithm within reasonable computational time.

In summary, we have described a construction of an efficient QMC algorithm for the simulation of softcore boson models on the lattice, based on the coarse-grained algorithms for the spin models. By establishing the relationship between the boson and spin operators in the infinite $S$ (total spin quantum number) limit, we have mapped the model of non-interacting bosons on a lattice to a spin XY-model in a magnetic field. We have demonstrated, that the limit of infinite $S$ may be taken directly in the algorithm, leading to improved performance and absence of systematic errors. The resulting algorithm was found to perform better than existing algorithms. The result of applications of the present algorithm to other models, such as the Bose Hubbard model, will be reported elsewhere.
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